

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 TODD MICHAEL SCHULTZ,
11 Plaintiff,
12
13 v.
14 MICHAEL C. THOMPSON, et al.,
15 Defendants.

No. 2:23-cv-03452-JAK (MRWx)


**ORDER RE EX PARTE
APPLICATION OF DEFENDANT
GREGORY R. HOLMES FOR
CONFIRMATION OF DATE TO
FILE RESPONSE TO OPERATIVE
COMPLAINT (DKT. 45)**

1 Based on a review of the Ex Parte Application of Defendant Gregory R. Holmes
2 for Confirmation of Date to File Response to Operative Complaint (the "Application"
3 (Dkt. 45)), sufficient good cause has been shown for the requested relief. Therefore, the
4 relief requested through the Application is **GRANTED**, as follows:

5 Defendant Holmes has until August 7, 2023 to respond to Plaintiff's Fourth
6 Amended Complaint. Any response filed on or before that date will be timely. No
7 response is required to any previous pleadings.

8
9
10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11
12 Dated: July 24, 2023



13 John A. Kronstadt

14 United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28